To: Schardt, James[schardt.james@epa.gov]

**From:** Kumar, Ashij (EC) **Sent:** Tue 8/30/2016 3:26:48 PM

**Subject:** RE: PRP check in and a question about phone lines at the Forum

Sure.

From: Schardt, James [mailto:schardt.james@epa.gov]

Sent: August 30, 2016 11:24 AM

To: Kumar, Ashij (EC)

Subject: RE: PRP check in and a question about phone lines at the Forum

I think what I'll do is develop a U.S. draft, give Chris/Cam a right to review but advise them to wait for the consolidated version, then with their okay, I'll flip it to you so that you can start working on a consolidated version. Sound good?

From: Kumar, Ashij (EC) [mailto:ashij.kumar@canada.ca]

**Sent:** Tuesday, August 30, 2016 10:03 AM **To:** Schardt, James <a href="mailto:schardt.james@epa.gov">schardt.james@epa.gov</a>>

**Subject:** RE: PRP check in and a question about phone lines at the Forum

I believe it's been submitted into our system to go to our Deputy Minister and Minister. I can't say when we'll hear back. I'm holding the version that went up to the Minister however. One option would be I take your edits and incorporate into my version – I doubt there will be any contentious changes. Our memo up the line did say that there may be some changes based on the US review. I think one person should hold the pen on the PRP file for version control.. I know the version I have has changed from what I sent your side last.

I read an email from John saying there'd be teleconference line. I checked with the team here organizing the logistics – there should be 1 phone line and 1 polycom in each room, projector, screen, podium/mic and speakers already in each room; they may have a mic for questions. The rooms are small I heard.

From: Schardt, James [mailto:schardt.james@epa.gov]

**Sent:** August 30, 2016 10:31 AM

To: Kumar, Ashij (EC)

Subject: PRP check in and a question about phone lines at the Forum

Hi Ash, how's your PRP going? We're getting close to done, mostly waiting for some final edits from Annex 4. I figure we might be able to share a compilation of edits by COB today. I think the plan was to create a binational document before sharing with Mike/Cam --- is that correct?

On a completely different topic, I hear John Marsden say that the LAMP sessions at the Forum would have teleconference lines. Is that correct, have arrangements along those lines actually been made? (I don't know is an acceptable answer. ©)

-j

James Schardt

U.S. EPA - Great Lakes National Program Office

77 W. Jackson Blvd. (Mailcode: G-17J)

Chicago, IL 60604

www.epa.gov/greatlakes

phone: 312-353-5085

email: schardt.james@epa.gov